

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,705		09/19/2000	Shachar Nadler	8389-013	1480
1059	7590	02/25/2002			
BERESKI		ARR	EXAMINER		
	TREET W	EST-SUITE 4000	ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A		
TORONTO CANADA), ON M51	H 3Y2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2877	
				DATE MAILED: 02/25/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

li

NADLER

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/664,705

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Richard Rosenberger

Art Unit 2877

I	I	ı				I

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	n the cover sheet with the corre	espondence address			
DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory munication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by apply received by the Office later than three months after the	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however tion. a reply within the statutory minimulariod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•			
This action is FINAL . 2b) \(\overline{\text{Z}} \) This act	on is non-final.				
· · · · · ·					
ion of Claims					
Claim(s) <u>21-52</u>	is/a	re pending in the application.			
a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/a	are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	<u> </u>	_ is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) 21-52		_ is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)		_ is/are objected to.			
Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	d b)⊡ disapproved.			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received.	No in this National Stage			
- -	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).			
	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Par	er No(s).			
·		•			
formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				
	OR Reply CREENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory primumication. to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the inned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ANLINID DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ANLINID DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimus considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX munulication. to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on			

Application/Control Number: 09/664,705

Art Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Partridge et al (US 5339,155) in view of Whittaker et al (US 5,267,019) and Boisde et al (US 4,820,045).

Partridge et al shows and apparatus and method for measuring a gas in the atmosphere in which the wavelength of a beam of light is scanned across the absorption line of the gas of interest; see column 4, lines 23-26. It is known that such wavelength scanning for such measurements can be obtained by modulating the wavelength of a tunable laser; see Whittaker et al; it would have been obvious to use this known technique for obtaining the scanned beam of Partridge et al.

Partridge shows using an optical fiber (34, shown in figure 5) to direct light to and from a measurement station, and states that this allows the light source and detector to be remote from the measuring area (column 8, lines 16-22).

It is known in the art that, with optical tests using optical fibers to carry light to and from the measuring stations that a single light source and detector can

Art Unit: 2877

Page 3

be used to monitor multiple test areas by multiplexing the light to "look at" different measuring stations at different times. This si shown, for example, by Boisde et al. It would have been obvious to so multiplex measurements as shown in figure 5 of Partridge to monitor more than one remote area without having to duplicate the entire measuring apparatus.

- 3. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 308-7722.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 21 October 2001

> Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner